



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **07.12.2020**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

W.P(MD) .No.17774 of 2020

WEB COPY

Ramasamy

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul, Dindigul District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Dhadi Kombu Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.498 of 2018)

3.The Assistant Director of Geology and Mining,
Dindigul, Dindigul District.

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents to release the petitioner's vehicle, namely Lorry, bearing Registration No.TN 28 K 3543 and to hand over the above vehicle to the petitioner forthwith, by considering the petitioner's representation dated 24.11.2020 within the time limit that may be stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.S.Jeya Karthik

For Respondents : Mr.A.Karthik
Government Advocate

ORDER

(This petition was heard through video conference)

This writ petition has been filed for a Mandamus seeking for a direction to the respondents to release the petitioner's vehicle namely Lorry, bearing Registration No.TN 28 K 3543, forthwith.

2. Mr.A.Karthik, learned Government Advocate, accepts notice for the respondents. By consent of both parties, the Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. It is the case of the petitioner that he is the owner of the vehicle namely Lorry, bearing Registration No.TN 28 K 3543. According to him, on 01.12.2018, second respondent seized the vehicle and registered a case in Crime No.498 of 2018 for the offence punishable under Section 379 of IPC and Rule 36[A] of MMDR Rules and Section 21(5) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, alleging that the petitioner has illegally



transported sand in his vehicle. According to him, ever since the seizure, the vehicle is still in the custody of the second respondent Police and till date, no confiscation proceedings have been initiated against the seized vehicle.

4. It is also the contention of the petitioner that the vehicle has also not been produced by the second respondent Police before the concerned Jurisdictional Court. According to him, the seized vehicle is kept idle in the Police Station premises in the open place and exposed to the vagaries of nature, resulting in the vehicle depreciating in value.

5. According to the petitioner, he gave a representation to the respondents on 24.11.2020 for releasing of the seized vehicle back to him. According to him, the respondents have failed to respond to the said representation. In such circumstances, he has filed this Writ Petition seeking for release of the seized vehicle.

6. Admittedly, the vehicle was seized by the second respondent on 01.12.2018 and a case has been registered in Crime No.498 of 2018 for the offence punishable under Section 379 of IPC and Rule 36 [A] of MMDR Rules and Section 21(5) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, alleging that the petitioner has illegally transported sand in his vehicle. Admittedly, the vehicle is now in the Police Station premises and kept in the open place and exposed to the vagaries of nature and has also not been produced before the Jurisdictional Court.

7. As rightly contended by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the vehicle will certainly depreciate in value, if it is allowed to remain in the open place and kept idle for a long period of time. No useful purpose will be served if the vehicle is allowed to be kept idle. In similar matters, this Court has granted release of seized vehicles to the respective petitioners subject to fulfillment of certain conditions. Therefore, this Court is inclined to grant similar relief to the petitioner subject to fulfillment of the following conditions:-

*(i) the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five Thousand only)** in favour of the first respondent within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.*

(ii) the petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle in question till the proceedings are completed.

(iii) the petitioner shall not change the colour and scheme of the vehicle.

(iv) the petitioner shall not use the vehicle for any illegal activities.

(v) before releasing the vehicle, the police authority shall take photographs of the vehicle at the



cost of the petitioner.

(vi) The petitioner shall produce all xerox copies of the documents pertaining to the ownership of the seized vehicle to the first respondent.

(vii) As and when the respondents call for the vehicle for enquiry, the petitioner has to produce the vehicle in question and he shall cooperate with the enquiry to be conducted by the respondents.

8. Upon completion of the above mentioned formalities, the respondents shall release the vehicle namely Lorry, bearing Registration No. TN 28 K 3543 to the petitioner forthwith and without any delay. If this undertaking given by the petitioner is breached, the petitioner will not be entitled for interim release of the vehicle in the future.

9. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

TM

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul, Dindigul District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Dhadi Kombu Police Station, Dindigul District.
(Crime No. 498 of 2018)

3. The Assistant Director of Geology and Mining,
Dindigul, Dindigul District.

+1 CC to M/s. M.S. JEYAKARTHIK, Advocate (SR-24480[F] dated 08/12/2020)

+1 CC to M/s. GP (SR-24686[F] dated 09/12/2020)

W.P (MD) .No.17774 of 2020

07.12.2020

MJ (CO)

AP (16/12/2020) 3P 6C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>