



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 14/12/2020

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.14724 of 2020

Perumal

... Petitioner/Accused No.4

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Sindupatti Police Station,
Madurai District.
Crime No.907/22020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Mahendrapathy,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.Erottuchamy,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.907/2020 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as A4, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 448 and 506(i) of IPC and Section 4 of TN Prohibition of Charging Exorbitant Interest Act, 2003, in Crime No.907 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant has borrowed a sum of Rs.1,40,000/- from one Ramar in the year 2014. Thereafter, the defacto complainant has paid the aforesaid amount with interest. After the demise of the said Ramar, the petitioner and the son of the said Ramar said to have trespassed into the defacto complainant's house and taken away the documents and threatened him with dire consequences. Based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the present crime has been registered.



3.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that due to money dispute, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the petitioner submitted that due to money dispute, the petitioner said to have abused the defacto complainant by using filthy language and also criminally intimidated him.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that due to money dispute, the occurrence said to have taken place and the allegation against the petitioner is that he demanded more interest and criminally intimidated the defacto complainant and there is no serious allegation against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate Court, Thirumangalam, Madurai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate Court is entitled to take appropriate action



against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused/petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
14/12/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUMANGALAM, MADURAI.
2. -DO-THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MADURAI DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SINDUPATTI POLICE STATION,
MADURAI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.14724 of 2020
Date : 14/12/2020

vsg
AE/JC/SAR-II (17.12.2020) 3P / 5C