



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 26/11/2020

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.13449 of 2020

A.Jegan

... Petitioner/Accused No.9

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Town Police Station(West),
Dindigul.
(In Crime No.435/2005).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Dr.Ramesh Mahadev,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mrs.M.Anantha Devi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-


For an Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 435 of 2005 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as A9, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police in C.C.No.65 of 2006 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dindigul for the offences punishable under Sections 147,341 and 506(i) of IPC and Section 3(1) of TNPPDL Act, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The petitioner was absent during the trial in C.C.No.65 of 2006 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dindigul. Therefore, Non-Bailable Warrant came to be issued .

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner undertakes that the petitioner shall appear before the Court below regularly on all future hearing dates without fail.



4.Considering the undertaking given by the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to appear before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dindigul, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and to file a petition to recall the NBW issued against him. The concerned Magistrate is directed to consider the same on merits and pass orders on the same day.

5.Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is disposed of.

sd/-
26/11/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I, DINDIGUL.
- 2.-DO- THRO'THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
TOWN POLICE STATION(WEST), DINDIGUL.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to Dr..RAMESH MAHADEV, Advocate (SR-7743[I] dated 30/11/2020)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.13449 of 2020
Date :26/11/2020

vsg
AE/AKM/SAR-IV (04.12.2020) 2P / 6C