



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Special Original Jurisdiction )

Tuesday, the First day of December Two Thousand and Twenty

WEB COPY

PRESENT

**The Hon'ble Mr. Justice S. VAIDYANATHAN**

WMP(MD) No.14292 of 2020

IN

WP(MD) No.17134 of 2020

A.RAMACHANDRAN

... PETITIONER/PETITIONER

**Vs**

1 THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,  
O/O.DISTRICT COLLECTORATE,  
PUDHUKOTTAI.

2 THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,  
THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICE,  
PUDHUKOTTAI,  
PUDHUKOTTAI DISTRICT.

3 THE THASILDAR,  
THIRUMAYAM TALUK,  
PUDHUKOTTAI DISTRICT.

4 SINGARAVELU

... RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS

Petition filed praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the affidavit filed therewith the High Court may be pleased to pass an order of Interim Stay of operation of the Impugned Order in Ni.Mu.A4/2987/2020 dated 16/10/2020 on the file of the Respondent No. 2, pending disposal of the Writ Petition.

**Prayer in WP(MD)No.17134/2020 :**

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court To issue a Writ of Certiorari to call for the records pertaining to the Impugned Order in Ni.Mu.A4/2987/2020 dated 16/10/2020 on the file of the Respondent No.2 and quash the same as illegal.

**ORDER :** This petition coming up for orders on this day, upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S.T.LAJAPATHI ROY, Advocate for the petitioner and of Mr.K.P.KRISHNADASS, Special Government Pleader on



behalf of the Respondents 1 to 3, while admitting the Writ Petition, the Court made the following order:-

This petition has been filed to grant an interim stay of operation of the order impugned in this writ petition in Ni.Mu.A4/2987/2020 dated 16.10.2020 by the second respondent pending disposal of the writ petition.

2. Heard both sides.

3. The pendency of the writ petition is not a bar for the petitioner to file a revision petition before the appropriate appellate authority. On such filing of the revision by the petitioner, the appellate authority may consider the request of the petitioner after hearing the parties concerned.

4. This Court *prima facie* finds that there is no justification in granting the interim order as prayed for in this petition and hence this petition stands dismissed as this Court is of the view that the petitioner has got remedy before the appellate authority as contended by Mr.K.P.Krishnadass, learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the official respondents.

sd/-

01/12/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

**Note :** In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

**To**

- 1 THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,  
O/O.DISTRICT COLLECTORATE,  
PUDHUKOTTAI.
- 2 THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,  
THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICE,  
PUDHUKOTTAI, PUDHUKOTTAI DISTRICT.
- 3 THE THASILDAR,  
THIRUMAYAM TALUK,  
PUDHUKOTTAI DISTRICT.

ORDER IN  
WMP(MD) No.14292 of 2020 IN  
WP(MD) No.17134 of 2020  
Date :01/12/2020