



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24/11/2020

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP(MD).No.13377 of 2020

1.Irulandi
2.Krishnan @ Mayakrishnan
3.Paneer @ Paneerselvam
4.Dhanraj
5.Satheesh Divan @ Satheeshkumar
6.Ganesan

... Petitioners/Accused

Nos.1,2,4,5,6 & 10

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Abiramam Police Station,
Ramnad District.
Crime No.459 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: Mr.V.Maharajan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.KR.Bharathi Kannan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.459 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as A1, A2, A4, A5, A6 and A10, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under sections 143, 144, 294(b), 323, 353, 506 (ii), 188, 269, 270 IPC r/w 134 of Tamil Nadu Public Health Act and Section 3 of Epidemic and Diseases Act, in Crime No.459 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners are said to have attacked the defacto complainant while taking video coverage of Birth Celebrations of Pasumpon Muthuramalinga Thevar on 30.10.2020 at Abiramam more fully at the statute of famous Pasumpon Muthuramalinga Thevar and said to have threatened the defacto complainant with dire consequence. Hence, the case has been



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), appearing for the respondent police strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the fact and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi, Ramnad District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
24/11/2020

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, KAMUTHI.
- 2.DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ABIRAMAM POLICE STATION, RAMNAD DISTRICT
- 4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.13377 of 2020
Date :24/11/2020

VSD
PK/AKM/SAR-IV/30.11.2020 : 3P/5C