



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 23/11/2020

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.13287 of 2020

1.Pandi @ Pandidurai
2.Karthik

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.2 & 4

Vs

The State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Ramanathapuram Bazaar Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
Crime No.469/2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: Mr.C.Senthil Murugan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.KR.Bharathi Kannan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 469 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as Accused No.2 and 4, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 447, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No.469 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the due to previous enmity, the petitioners and other said to have trespassed into the defacto complainant's house and abused the defacto complainant by using filthy language and also attacked him with hands and caused injuries. Hence, the present complaint.



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that due to previous enmity, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he seek anticipatory bail.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), appearing for the respondent police submitted that due to previous enmity, the occurrence said to have taken place. He further submitted that the injured sustained only simple injury and he was treated as out patient.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured sustained only simple injury and he was treated as out patient, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble



Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

sd/-
23/11/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I, RAMANATHAPURAM.
- 2.DO THRO'THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
RAMANATHAPURAM BAZAAR POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.13287 of 2020
Date :23/11/2020

vsg
AE/AKM/SAR-II (26.11.2020) 3P / 5C