



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 26.11.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

W.P. (MD)No.16892 of 2020
(Through Video Conference)

WEB COPY

Ravichandran

... Petitioner

Vs

1) The District Supply Officer,
Collectorate Complex,
Tuticorin,
Tuticorin District - 628 101

2) The Inspector of Police,
Civil Supplies C.I.D.,
Tuticorin Unit,
Madurai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus, direct the respondents herein to forthwith to release the petitioner's Mahindra Bolero Maxi Trunk Plus bearing Registration No.TN-96-2694 from their custody on the basic of his representation dated 11.11.2020.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Susi Kumar

For Respondents : Mr.A.Karthick,
Government Advocate

O R D E R

This writ petition has been filed for a Mandamus to direct the respondents herein to forthwith to release the petitioner's Mahindra Bolero Maxi Trunk Plus bearing Registration No.TN-96-2694 from their custody on the basic of his representation dated 11.11.2020.

2. Heard Mr.C.Susi Kumar, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.A.Karthick, learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents.

3. By consent of both parties, the Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

4. It is the case of the petitioner that he is the owner of the aforementioned vehicle. Since the petitioner has been facing financial crisis, he had leased his vehicle namely Mahindra Bolero Maxi Trunk Plus bearing Registration No.TN-96-2694 to one Nagarajan for transporting agriculture products. According to him, on 14.09.2020, the second respondent, in connection with Crime No.107/2020 for the offence under Section 6(4) of TNSC(RDCS) Order 1982 r/w 7(1)a(ii) of EC Act, 1955 had seized the petitioner's



vehicle alleging that the vehicle has been involved in transportation of PDS rice. The petitioner's vehicle has been seized by the first respondent and the same is under the custody of the second respondent police.

5. It is the contention of the petitioner that he is never involved in any illegal transportation of PDS Rice as alleged by the Police and he has not committed any prior criminal offence. According to the petitioner, the seized vehicle is now being kept in the open place and due to exposure of sun and rain and the vagaries of nature, the vehicle will lose its value and may, ultimately become a wreck and worthless. In such circumstances, this Writ Petition has been filed seeking for release of the said vehicle.

6. The petitioner has also given a representation on 11.11.2020 to the respondents seeking for release of the said seized vehicle. According to the petitioner, the said representation has not been considered by the respondents till date. As rightly contended by the petitioner, if the vehicle, which was seized by the first respondent, is kept in the open place under the custody of the second respondent and it will not be useful to anyone in the near future and if it is continued to remain in the open, it has to face the vagaries of nature and ultimately will become a wreck and worthless. However, whether the petitioner has committed the alleged offence or not can be adjudicated only after trial before the Criminal Court. Till such time, the vehicle cannot be kept idle. Therefore, this Court is inclined to grant an order as sought for by the petitioner in this Writ Petition, subject to the fulfillment of the following conditions by the petitioner.

- (i) Since it is the case involving seizure of PDS Rice, the petitioner cannot give security by way of bond, in stead will have to give cash security. Accordingly, the petitioner shall deposit a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Twenty five thousand only)** with the first respondent within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order;
- (ii) the petitioner shall give an unconditional undertaking to the first respondent that he shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle in question without permission of the Jurisdictional Magistrate till the completion of the confiscation proceedings;
- (iii) the petitioner shall not change the colour and scheme of the vehicle;
- (iv) the petitioner shall not use the vehicle for any illegal activities;
- (v) before releasing the vehicle, the police authority shall take photographs of the vehicle at the cost of the petitioner;
- (vi) The petitioner shall produce all xerox copies of the documents pertaining to the ownership of the seized vehicle to the first respondent; and

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/> (vii) As and when the respondents call for the vehicle for



enquiry, the petitioner has to produce the vehicle in question and he shall cooperate with the enquiry to be conducted by the respondents.

7. Upon completion of the above mentioned formalities, the first respondent shall release the vehicle viz., Mahindra Bolero Maxi Trunk Plus bearing Registration No.TN-96-2694 to the petitioner forthwith without any delay. If this undertaking given by the petitioner is breached, the petitioner will not be entitled to interim release of the vehicle in future. As far as confiscation proceedings initiated against the seized vehicle is concerned, the same can go on without any interference.

8.The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Crl Side)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

sts

Note:In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the Advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1) The District Supply Officer,
Collectorate Complex,
Tuticorin,
Tuticorin District - 628 101

2) The Inspector of Police,
Civil Supplies C.I.D.,
Tuticorin Unit,
Madurai District.

+1 CC to M/s.GP (SR-23125[F] dated 27/11/2020)

Order made in

W.P. (MD)No.16892 of 2020

Dated:

26.11.2020

sss (CO)

KK(09.12.2020) 3P 4C