



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 20.11.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE J.NISHA BANU

Crl.O.P (MD) No.13150 of 2020

1.J.Palpandi

2.T.Satheeshkumar

3.Santhanam

: Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 3

Vs

1. State rep., through,
The Inspector of Police,
Taluka Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Cr.No.30/2016.)

: Respondent No.1/Complainant

2. M.Murugan

: Respondent No.2/Defacto Complainant

PRAYER: Petition filed under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for the records in Crime No.30 of 2016, on the file of the 1st respondent police and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Manikandan

For R1 : Mr.M.V.Chandrasekaran
Government Advocate

O R D E R

Heard both sides.

2.This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.30 of 2016, on the file of the 1st respondent police.

3.The second respondent / defacto complainant as well as the petitioners/accused are present before this Court and they are identified by the respective learned counsel and both the parties have filed joint compromise memos stating that the matters in issue between them has been amicably resolved and therefore, the second respondent / defacto complainant is not willing to prosecute the case and therefore would pray for quashing the FIR.

4. The compromise memo is filed before this Court and the parties are also present personally along with their respective counsels and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) would state that he has verified their identity. The relevant portion from the



Joint Compromise Memo filed by the petitioners and the second respondent is extracted as under:

''3.It is submitted that thye are all same family, same village due to the intervention of elders and relatives of both parties, the 2nd respondent and the petitioners have decided to go for compromise for the future relationship and peace and amity and as such the 2nd respondent/defacto complainant agreed to withdraw the complaint and FIR pending as against the petitioners/Accused 1,2,3 and as such to that effect, this Joint compromise memo has been filed. The quash petition may be treated as part and parcel of this joint compromise memo.''

5. In the result, by recording the compromise, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the proceedings in Crime No.30 of 2016, on the file of the respondent police is quashed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-III)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

vrn

Enclose: Xerox Copy of Joint Compromise Memo.

NOTE: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To: -

1. The Inspector of Police,
Taluka Police Station,
Dindigul District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Crl.O.P(MD)No.13150 of 2020

20.11.2020

KG (CO)

CS(01.12.2020) 2P 3C