



**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT**

**DATED: 06.11.2020**

**CORAM:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE**

**W.P. (MD)No.15595 of 2020**

WEB COPY

R.Robinson

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,  
Thoothukudi Revenue Division,  
Thoothukudi,  
Thoothukudi District.

2.The Assistant Director of Mines,  
Thoothukudi District,  
Thoothukudi.

3.The Inspector of Police,  
Pudukottai Police Station,  
Pudukottai,  
Thoothukudi District.

... Respondents

**PRAYER:** Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to release the petitioner's vehicle namely Ashok Leyland Lorry bearing registration No.TN 75 B 2929.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Pragalathan

For Respondents : Mr.A.Muthu Karuppan,  
Additional Government Pleader

**ORDER**

This writ petition has been filed for a Mandamus seeking for a direction to the third respondent to release the petitioner's vehicle viz., Ashok Leyland Lorry bearing Registration TN 75 B 2929.

2. Mr.A.Muthu Karuppan, learned Additional Government Pleader, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. By consent of both parties, the Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. Heard Mr.N.Pragalathan, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.A.Muthu Karuppan, learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents.



4. It is the case of the petitioner that he is the owner of the Ashok Leyland Lorry bearing Registration TN 75 B 2929. According to him, on 28.09.2020, the third respondent has registered a case against the petitioner in Crime No.520 of 2020 for the offence punishable under Section 379 of I.P.C., read with Sections 21(1) and 21(4) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation Act), 1957 alleging that the petitioner has transported river sand in his vehicle without proper invoices. The petitioner's vehicle was seized by the third respondent Police on the same day. According to the petitioner, ever since the seizure, the said vehicle is still in the custody of the Police.

4. It is also the contention of the petitioner that the vehicle has also not been produced by the third respondent Police before the concerned Jurisdictional Court. According to him, the seized vehicle is now kept idle in the Police Station premises in the open place and exposed to the vagaries of nature, resulting in the vehicle depreciating in value.

5. According to the petitioner, he gave a representation to the third respondent on 19.10.2020 for releasing of the seized vehicle back to him. According to him, the third respondent has failed to respond to the said representation. In such circumstances, he has filed this Writ Petition seeking for release of the seized vehicle.

6. Admittedly, the vehicle was seized by the third respondent on 28.09.2020 and a case has been registered in Crime No.520 of 2020 for the offence punishable under Section 379 of I.P.C., read with Sections 21(1) and 21(4) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation Act), 1957 alleging that the petitioner has transported river sand in his vehicle without proper invoices. Admittedly, the vehicle is now in the Police Station premises and kept in the open place and exposed to the vagaries of nature and has also not been produced before the Jurisdictional Court.

7. As rightly contended by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the vehicle will certainly depreciate in value, if it is allowed to remain in the open place and kept idle for a long period of time. No useful purpose will be served if the vehicle is allowed to be kept idle. In similar matters, this Court has granted release of seized vehicles to the respective petitioners subject to fulfillment of certain conditions. Therefore, this Court is inclined to grant similar relief to the petitioner subject to fulfillment of the following conditions:-

(i) *the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of*  
**Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** *in favour*  
*of the third respondent within a period of two weeks*



from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(ii)the petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle in question till the proceedings are completed.

(iii)the petitioner shall not change the colour and scheme of the vehicle.

(iv)the petitioner shall not use the vehicle for any illegal activities.

(v)before releasing the vehicle, the police authority shall take photographs of the vehicle at the cost of the petitioner.

(vi)The petitioner shall produce all xerox copies of the documents pertaining to the ownership of the seized vehicle to the third respondent.

(vii)As and when the respondents call for the vehicle for enquiry, the petitioner has to produce the vehicle in question and he shall cooperate with the enquiry to be conducted by the respondents.

8. Upon completion of the above mentioned formalities, the respondents shall release the Ashok Leyland Lorry bearing Registration TN 75 B 2929, to the petitioner forthwith and without any delay. If this undertaking given by the petitioner is breached, the petitioner will not be entitled for interim release of the vehicle in the future.

9. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

ias

**Note:**In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the Advocate/litigant concerned.



**To:**

- 1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,  
Thoothukudi Revenue Division,  
Thoothukudi,  
Thoothukudi District.
- 2.The Assistant Director of Mines,  
Thoothukudi District,  
Thoothukudi.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,  
Pudukottai Police Station,  
Pudukottai,  
Thoothukudi District.

+1 CC to SGP ( SR-21598[F] dated 09/11/2020 )

**W.P. (MD)No.15595 of 2020**  
**06.11.2020**

ARK(CO)

KM (19.11.2020) 4P 5C