



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 03.11.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **ABDUL QUDDHOSE**

W.P. (MD)No.15245 of 2020

T.Krishnanraja

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Special Revenue Inspector,
Geology & Mining Department,
Thoothukudi, Thoothukudi District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Sathankulam Police Station,
Sathankulam, Thoothukudi District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to release the petitioner's vehicle namely TATA Goods Carrier bearing Registration No.TN 72 BW 6449 seized on 22.10.2020 by the first respondent and hand over the vehicle to the second respondent on 23.10.2020 pertaining to the case in Crime No.385 of 2020 within the period that may be stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Balasubramanian

For Respondents : Mr.C.Ramesh

Special Government Pleader

ORDER

(This Petition was heard through Video Conferencing)

This writ petition has been filed for a Mandamus seeking for a direction to direct the respondents to release the petitioner's vehicle viz., TATA Goods Carrier bearing Registration No.TN 72 BW 6449.

2.Heard Mr.V.Balasubramanian, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.C.Ramesh, learned Special Government Pleader, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. By consent of both parties, the Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.It is the case of the petitioner that he is the owner of the TATA Goods Carrier bearing Registration No.TN 72 BW 6449. According to the petitioner, on 22.10.2020, the first respondent seized the vehicle and handed over the same to the second respondent. The second respondent registered a case against the petitioner in Crime No.385 of 2020 for the offence punishable under Section 379 I.P.C., alleging that the petitioner has transported sand without proper



invoices. According to the petitioner, ever since the seizure, the said vehicle is still in the custody of the second respondent Police.

4.It is also the contention of the petitioner that the vehicle has also not been produced by the second respondent Police before the concerned Jurisdictional Court. According to him, the seized vehicle is now kept idle in the Police Station premises in the open place and exposed to the vagaries of nature, resulting in the vehicle depreciating in value.

5.According to the petitioner, he gave a representation to the respondents on 27.10.2020 for releasing of the seized vehicle back to him. According to him, the respondents failed to respond to the said representation. In such circumstances, he has filed this Writ Petition seeking for release of the seized vehicle.

6.Admittedly, the vehicle was seized by the first respondent on 22.10.2020 and handed over to the second respondent on 23.10.2020 and a case has been registered by the second respondent Police against the petitioner in Crime No.385 of 2020, for the offence punishable under Section 379 I.P.C., alleging that the petitioner has transported sand without proper invoices. Admittedly, the vehicle is now in the Police Station premises and kept in the open place and exposed to the vagaries of nature and the vehicle has also not been produced before the Jurisdictional Court.

7.As rightly contended by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the vehicle will certainly depreciate in value, if it is allowed to remain in the open place and kept idle for a long period of time. No useful purpose will be served if the vehicle is allowed to be kept idle. In similar matters, this Court has granted release of seized vehicle to the respective petitioners subject to fulfillment of certain conditions. Therefore, this Court is inclined to grant similar relief to the petitioner subject to fulfillment of the following conditions:-

(i)the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** in favour of the second respondent within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(ii)the petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle in question till the proceedings are completed.

(iii)the petitioner shall not change the colour and scheme of the vehicle.

(iv)the petitioner shall not use the vehicle for any illegal activities.

(v)before releasing the vehicle, the police authority shall take photographs of the vehicle at the cost of the petitioner.



(vi) The petitioner shall produce all xerox copies of the documents pertaining to the ownership of the seized vehicle to the first respondent.

(vii) As and when the respondents call for the vehicle for enquiry, the petitioner has to produce the vehicle in question and he shall cooperate with the enquiry to be conducted by the respondents.

8. Upon completion of the above mentioned formalities, the respondents shall release the petitioner's TATA Goods Carrier bearing Registration No. TN 72 BW 6449, to the petitioner forthwith and without any delay. If this undertaking given by the petitioner is breached, the petitioner will not be entitled for interim release of the vehicle in the future.

9. The Writ Petition is disposed of, accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (C.O)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

gns

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the Advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1. The Special Revenue Inspector,
Geology & Mining Department,
Thoothukudi, Thoothukudi District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Sathankulam Police Station,
Sathankulam, Thoothukudi District.

+1 CC to the SPL GP SR-21138.

+1 CC to Mr.V.BALASUBRAMANIAN, Advocate SR-20937.

W.P. (MD)No.15245 of 2020

SS (CO)

CS (06.11.2020) 3P 5C