



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 03/11/2020

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.12266 of 2020

Sumathi

... Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

State through,
The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Soolakkarai Police Station,
Virudhunagar, Virudhunagar District.
Crime No.308/2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.G.Mariappan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For an Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.308 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 9(B)(1)(a) of the Indian Explosives Act, in Crime No.308 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was found in possession of 1450 crose. Hence, a complaint has been registered.

3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate(Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.



4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner had moved an anticipatory bail application before this Court with regard to the case in Crime No.296 of 2020 for the offence punishable under Sections 286, 304(part) IPC r/w Section 9(B)(1)(a) of the Indian Explosive Act and this Court by order dated 22.10.2020 has granted an interim protection to the petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner is also an accused in Crime No.296 of 2020. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate NO.II, Virudhunagar, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Fifty thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme

<https://hccourts.courts.gov.in/Kse61hes> *Govindarajan P. In/ Kse61hes* Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f) if the accused/petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
03/11/2020

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, VIRUDHUNAGAR.
2. DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VIRUTHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTHUR.
3. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SOOLAKKARAI POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.12266 of 2020
Date : 03/11/2020

TA
AE/AKM/SAR-II (24.11.2020) 3P 5C