



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 22/10/2020

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice ABDUL QUDDHOSE

CRL OP(MD). No.12052 of 2020

1.Mariappan
2.Manoj
3.Vimal

... Petitioners/Accused
Nos.1 to 3

Vs

The State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Senthamaram Police Station,
Thenkasi District.
Crime No.390 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : M/s.P.Ponraj,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.K.Ramakrishnan,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.390 of 2020 on the file of
the Respondent Police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as A1 to A3, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 294(b), 323, 506(i) of IPC and Section 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, in Crime No.390 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to family dispute, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, in which, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant and his wife by using filthy language, threatened with dire consequences and also assaulted them.



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor on instructions, submitted that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital. He further submitted the petitioners have no previous case.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate, Thenkasi District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two common sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW



(f) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
22/10/2020

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THENKASI DISTRICT.
2. DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SENTHAMARAM POLICE STATION,
THENKASI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.12052 of 2020
Date : 22/10/2020

LS
TK/PN/SAR.4/28.10.2020/3P/5C