



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 22/10/2020

PRESENT

**The Hon`ble Mr.Justice ABDUL QUDDHOSE**

**CRL OP(MD) . No.11968 of 2020**

1. M.Abdul Azeez Ahamed
2. A.Mahaboob Basha ... Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 & 2
3. Askar Ali Khan ... Petitioner/Accused (Rank not known)

Vs

State, Rep.by,  
The Sub Inspector of Police,  
D-1,Thalakulam Police Station,  
Madurai Town,  
Cr.No.2099 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: M/s.B.Sudhasathyananth,  
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.Seenivasan,  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-


For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.2099 of 2020 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as A1 & A2 and rank not known for 3<sup>rd</sup> petitioners, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 147, 448, 294(b), 323 and 506(i) of IPC, in Crime No.2099 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners were said to have abused the defacto complainant by using filthy language and also attacked her with hands and caused injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.



4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner and the defacto complainant are husband and wife. The 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> petitioners are in-laws of the defacto complainant. He further submitted that the petitioners were said to have abused the defacto complainant by using filthy language and also attacked her with hands and duo to which, no injury was caused to the defacto complainant. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), on instructions, submitted that the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner and the defacto complainant are husband and wife. Due to the matrimonial dispute, the petitioners were said to have abused the defacto complainant by using filthy language and also attacked her with hands. Due to which, no injury was caused to the defacto complainant.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that no injury was caused to the defacto complainant, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.II, Madurai, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m without fail for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW



(f) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-  
22/10/2020

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020  
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, MADURAI.
- 2.DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
MADURAI DISTRICT.
- 3.THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
D-1,THALAKULAM POLICE STATION,  
MADURAI TOWN.
- 4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,  
MADURAI.

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.11968 of 2020  
Date :22/10/2020

DSS  
PK/VR/SAR-4/28.10.2020 : 3P/5C