



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16/10/2020

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP(MD). No.11592 of 2020

1. Aakash
2. Jeyasingh

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.1&2

Vs

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thattarmadam Police Station,
Thattarmadam,
Thoothukudi District.
(Cr No.202 of 2020).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: M/s.T.Vadivelan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.KR.Bharathi Kannan,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For an Anticipatory bail in Cr No.202 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as A1 & A2, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 294(b), 352 and 506(ii) of IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Woman Act, 1998, in Cr No.202 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the petitioners said to have abused the defacto complainant by using filthy language and also attacked her with hands and caused injuries. Hence, the complaint.



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the injured sustained only simple injury and she was discharged from the hospital. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), on instructions, submitted that the injured sustained only simple injury and she was discharged from the hospital.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured sustained only simple injury and she was discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sathankulam, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m without fail for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW



(f) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
16/10/2020

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SATHANKULAM.
2. -DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THATTARMADAM POLICE STATION,
THATTARMADAM,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11592 of 2020
Date :16/10/2020

DSS
SRS/ AKM/SAR-III/ 22.10.2020/ 3P/5C