



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **28.10.2020**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **ABDUL QUDDHOSE**

W.P. (MD)No.14622 of 2020

Balakrishnan

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Tiruchendur Taluk,
Thoothukudi District.

2.The Tahsildar,
Srivaikundam Taluk,
Thoothukudi District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Seidunganallur Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.537 of 2020)

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to release the petitioner's Tipper Lorry bearing Registration No.TN-09-AL-3440, which was seized on 10.10.2020 by the 2nd respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Veilkaniraju.

For Respondents : Mrs.VPM.Vaishnavi
Government Advocate

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed for a Mandamus seeking for a direction to the respondents to release the petitioner's vehicle namely Tipper Lorry bearing Registration No.TN-09-AL-3440 forthwith.

2. Mrs.VPM.Vaishnavi, learned government Advocate, accepts notice for the respondents. By consent of both parties, the Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. It is the case of the petitioner that he is an agriculturist and he is the owner of the vehicle namely Tipper Lorry bearing Registration No.TN-09-AL-3440. According to him, on 10.10.2020, the second respondent Police seized the vehicle and hand over the same to the third respondent. The third respondent registered a case in Crime No.537 of 2020 for the offence punishable under Section 379 of IPC r/w. Section 21(1), of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation Act), 1957 alleging that the petitioner has transported sand in his vehicle without proper bills. According to him, ever since the seizure, the vehicle is still in the custody of the third respondent Police and till date, no confiscation



proceedings have been initiated against the seized vehicle.

4. It is also the contention of the petitioner that the vehicle has also not been produced by the third respondent Police before the concerned Jurisdictional Court. According to him, the seized vehicle is kept idle in the Police Station premises in the open place and exposed to the vagaries of nature, resulting in the vehicle depreciating in value.

5. According to the petitioner, he gave a representation to the respondents on 11.10.2020 for releasing of the seized vehicle back to him. According to him, the respondents have failed to respond to the said representation. In such circumstances, he has filed this Writ Petition seeking for release of the seized vehicle.

6. Admittedly, the vehicle was seized by the third respondent on 10.10.2020 and a case has been registered in Crime No.537 of 2020 for the offence punishable under Section 379 of IPC r/w. Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation Act), 1957, alleging that the petitioner has transported sand in his vehicle without proper bill. Admittedly, the vehicle is now in the Police Station premises and kept in the open place and exposed to the vagaries of nature and has also not been produced before the Jurisdictional Court.

7. As rightly contended by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the vehicle will certainly depreciate in value, if it is allowed to remain in the open place and kept idle for a long period of time. No useful purpose will be served if the vehicle is allowed to be kept idle. In similar matters, this Court has granted release of seized vehicles to the respective petitioners subject to fulfillment of certain conditions. Therefore, this Court is inclined to grant similar relief to the petitioner subject to fulfillment of the following conditions:-

*(i)the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** in favour of the first respondent within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.*

(ii)the petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle in question till the proceedings are completed.

(iii)the petitioner shall not change the colour and scheme of the vehicle.

(iv)the petitioner shall not use the vehicle for any illegal activities.

(v)before releasing the vehicle, the police authority shall take photographs of the vehicle at the cost of the petitioner.

(vi)The petitioner shall produce all xerox copies of the documents pertaining to the ownership of the seized vehicle to the third respondent.



(vii)As and when the respondents call for the vehicle for enquiry, the petitioner has to produce the vehicle in question and he shall cooperate with the enquiry to be conducted by the respondents.

8. Upon completion of the above mentioned formalities, the respondents shall release the vehicle namely Tipper Lorry bearing Registration No.TN-09-AL-3440 to the petitioner forthwith and without any delay. If this undertaking given by the petitioner is breached, the petitioner will not be entitled for interim release of the vehicle in the future.

9. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CO)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

TM

Note:In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the Advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Tiruchendur Taluk,
Thoothukudi District.

2.The Tahsildar,
Srivaikundam Taluk,
Thoothukudi District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Seidunganallur Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.

W.P. (MD)No.14622 of 2020
28.10.2020

—
NA (CO)

KK(03.11.2020) 3P 4C