



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 14/10/2020

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP(MD). No.11346 of 2020

1. Chermakani
2. S.Radha
3. M.Sudhakar
4. Rathi @ Poornarathi
5. Surendar
6. Sivanesh @ Sivaneshkumar
7. Muthukumar
8. Natchiyar

... Petitioners/
A1 to A5 and A7 to A9

Vs

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruchendur Taluk Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
(Cr No.387/2020).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: M/s.R.Pon Karthikeyan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mrs.M.Anantha Devi,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For an Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.387 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as Accused Nos.A1 to A5 & A7 to A9, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 147, 294(b), 342, 323, 324, 354B and 355 of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.387 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

<https://hcservices.courts.gov.in/hcservices/> 2. The case of the prosecution is that due to the property



dispute, the petitioners said to have attacked the defacto complainant and her family members and criminally intimidated them. Hence, the present complaint.

3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that it is a case in counter, earlier the petitioners have been attacked by the defacto complainant and hence, the petitioners have given a complaint against the defacto complainant and the same was registered in Crime No.388 of 2020. As a counter blast, the present complaint has been filed. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioners are arrayed as accused Nos.A1 to A5 & A7 to A9. The 1st petitioner and the defacto complainant are the brother and sister. Duo to the property dispute, the petitioners said to have attacked the defacto complainant and her family members and criminally intimidated them. He further submitted that it is a case in counter.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that it is a case in counter, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruchendur, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two blood relative sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners 3, 5, 6 & 7 shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness
<https://hccourtscorpus.in/hccservices> investigation or trial;



(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

14/10/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUCHENDUR.
2. -DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THIRUCHENDUR TALUK POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1CC to M/s.R.PON KARTHIKEYAN, Advocate (SR-7006[I] dated 15/10/2020)

ORDER IN

CRL OP(MD) No.11346 of 2020

Date : 14/10/2020

DSS

<https://hcma.ces.courts.gov.in/hcma/cases/16.10.2020/3P/6C>