



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 14/10/2020

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP(MD). No.11331 of 2020

Raju @ Maya

...Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

The State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Jeeyapuram, Trichy District.
Crime No. 30 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lenin Kumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.439 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-


For Bail in Crime No. 30 of 2020 on the file of the Respondent Police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/sole accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 29.08.2020 for the offences punishable under Sections 376(i), 417, 294(b) and 506 (ii) of IPC on the file of the respondent police seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner fell in love with the defacto complainant and on the pretext of marrying her had physical relationship , due to which she become pregnant and now the petitioner suspecting the fidelity of the defacto complainant refusing to marry her. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that though the petitioner fell in love with the victim girl and thereafter due to her conduct their relationship was broken. He would also submit that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 29.08.2020 and till now he is in judicial



custody. He would also submit that he is ready and willing to undergo DNA test and denying the reason for her pregnancy.

4. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) submitted that due to love affair between the petitioner and the defacto complainant and on the pretext of marrying the defacto complainant the petitioner had sexual intercourse with the victim girl aged about 23 years , due to which she become pregnant and now the petitioner is also suspecting the fidelity and also refusing to marry the defacto complainant for some reason.

5. It is seen that the petitioner has committed very serious and heinous against the women. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Hence this petition stands dismissed.

sd/-
14/10/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
JEEYAPURAM, TRICHY DISTRICT.
- 2.THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, TRICHY.
- 3.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11331 of 2020
Date :14/10/2020

AAV
TK/VR/SAR.2/16.10.2020/2P/4C