



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 13/10/2020

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP(MD).No.11212 of 2020

Vinoth @ Vinoth Kumar

... Petitioner/Accused No.4

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Koodalpuhur Police Station,
Madurai.
(In Crime No.1447 of 2020)

..Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.C.M.Arumugam,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.1447 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as A4, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under section 323 IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prevention of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.1447 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 01.10.2020 at about 6.00 p.m. the defacto complainant went to her father's house at Anaiyur, Madurai. At that time the defacto complainant's sister-in-law's brother, father, mother and her relative i.e. the petitioner herein quarreled with the defacto complainant and attacked the defacto complainant and caused blood injuries on her head. Hence, the complaint.



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), appearing for the respondent police submitted that due to family dispute, the occurrence said to have taken place. He further submitted that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital.

6. Considering the fact and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Additional Mahila Court, Madurai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily morning at 10.30 a.m., without fail, for a period of Two Weeks and thereafter as on when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f)if the accused/petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
13/10/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

WEB COPY

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDGE,
ADDITIONAL MAHILA COURT, MADURAI.
2. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KODALPUTHUR POLICE STATION, MADURAI.
3. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11212 of 2020
Date :13/10/2020

MS/JC/SAR-4/19.10.2020/3P.4C