



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 13/10/2020

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.11259 of 2020

Kalirajan ... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

The State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Thalaiyuthu Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
In Crime No. 205 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Jegadeesh Pandian,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.KR.Bharathi Kannan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C


PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.205 of 2020 on the
file of the Respondent Police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as A2, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 342, 506(ii) and 364(A) of IPC, in Crime No.205 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and other accused persons entered into conspiracy and cheated the defacto complainant's son Viz., Mohamed Azarudeen by sending original cash notes as counterfeit currency notes, using the same they looted a sum of Rs.1,05,000/- from him, later the said Mohamed Azarudeen was abducted by the petitioner and others. Hence, the present complaint.

3.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.



4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that based on the confession given by A1, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that A1 has already been arrested and subsequently, he was released on bail by the Principal District Judge, Tirunelveli. Hence, he seek anticipatory bail.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and A1 has already been arrested and released on bail by the Court below.

6.This is the second application. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and A1 has already been arrested and released on bail by the Court below, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to pay a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- to the defacto complainant. On such payment, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Tirunelveli, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f)if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
13/10/2020

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.III,
TIRUNELVELI.
- 2.DO THRO'THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THALAIYUTHU POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11259 of 2020
Date :13/10/2020

vsg
AE/AKM/SAR-II (15.10.2020) 3P 5C