



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 01/10/2020

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V.BHARATHIDASAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.10644 of 2020

Raja @ Maharaja

... Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Saptur Police Station,
Saptur, Madurai District.
(Crime No.661 of 2020).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Arunraj,
Advocate.

For Respondent : M/s.S.E.Veronica Vincent,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 661 of 2020 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as A1, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 447, 294(b), 427, 323, 326 and 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No.661 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the petitioner and other accused said to have trespassed into the garden of the defacto complainant, due to which, there was a wordy quarrel between them, consequently, the petitioners and other said to have abused the defacto complainant by using filthy language and also attacked him with felted stone and also caused damage to the two wheeler. Based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the crime has been registered against the petitioner.



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that due to previous enmity, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that A2 and A3 have already been arrested and released on bail by the lower Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that due to previous enmity, the occurrence said to have taken place. He further submitted that the injured sustained only simple injury and he was treated as out patient.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact the occurrence said to have taken place in a wordy quarrel and the injured sustained only simple injury and he was treated as out patient and A2 and A3 have already been arrested and released on bail by the lower Court, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Peraiyur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme

<https://hccourts.courts.gov.in/Kse61hes> *Govindarajan P. In/ Kse61hes* Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f)if the accused/petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
01/10/2020

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PERAIYUR.
- 2.DO THRO'THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MADURAI DISTRICT.
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SAPTUR POLICE STATION,
SAPTUR, MADURAI DISTRICT.
- 4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10644 of 2020
Date :01/10/2020

vsg
AE/AKM/SAR-III (06.10.2020) 3P 5C