



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Dated : 29/09/2020

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V.BHARATHIDASAN

CRL.O.P. (MD). No.10176 of 2020

Sasikumar : Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

State rep. by its
The Inspector of Police,
C-3, S.S. Colony Police Station,
Madurai City,
(Crime No.1851 of 2020).

: Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Deenadhayalan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL UNDER SECTION 438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.1851 of 2020 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

Apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Crime No.1851 of 2020 for the offences punishable under Sections 379 @ 379, 120-B, 406, 420, 465 and 468 of the Indian Penal Code, the petitioner has come up with the present petition seeking anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

2. Heard both sides.

3. The petitioner is Accused No.1 in this case. The allegation is that, the car bearing Registration No.TN 58 AK 3519 originally owned by one Sathish Kumar, who said to have handed over the car to the petitioner herein, in lieu of receiving the loan amount to the tune of Rs.2,00,000/- [Rupees Two Lakhs only]. Thereafter, the car



was sold to the *defacto* complainant by the petitioner. Subsequently, on enquiry, it was found that the number plate, registration number and chassis number of the vehicle have been changed by the petitioner. Hence, the complaint has been given.

4. Originally, the registration number of the vehicle is '**TN 58 AK 3519**'. That was handed over by Sathish Kumar to the petitioner. Subsequently, the investigation reveals that the petitioner has changed the registration number using the registration number of a car which was completely damaged in an accident in Chennai and also changed the chassis number and thereafter, sold the car to the *defacto* complainant.

5. Now, it is stated that the petitioner is the man behind the entire occurrence and Accused No.2 was already arrested and now, is in custody. That apart, the petitioner is having two previous cases for the offence punishable under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code. Since the petitioner being a habitual offender, this Court is **not** inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Hence, the petition stands dismissed.

sd/-
29/09/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
C3, S.S. COLONY POLICE STATION,
MADURAI CITY.
- 2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10176 of 2020
Date :29/09/2020

sml
JM/PN/SAR I/01.10.2020/2P/3C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>