



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **21.09.2020**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **R.PONGIAPPAN**

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10012 of 2020

and

Crl.M.P.(MD)No.4656 of 2020

S.V.Karunakaran

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Commissioner of Police,
Commissioner of Police Office,
Madurai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Tallakulam Police Station (Law and Order),
Tallakulam.

3.Malaisamy

4.Sundara Mahalingam

5.K.Palanisamy

6.K.Narayanan

7.Dhanasekaran

...Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to direct the respondents 2 and 3 not to interfere civil dispute between the petitioner and respondents 5 to 7 in subject matter of the suit scheduled property in O.S.No.32 of 2014, public street situated in R.S.No.403/7 in Plot no.6, 2nd Cross Street, 2nd main road, Bank Colony, North to South 75 feet length 10 feet width corporation cement road ward no.49, Madurai Town, Madurai Taluk, Madurai Corporation Limit.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.John

For R-1 and R-2 : Mr.A.P.G.Ohm Chairma Prabhu
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

O R D E R

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking a direction to direct the respondents 2 and 3 not to interfere in the civil dispute having by the petitioner with the respondents 5 to 7 in subject matter of the suit scheduled property in O.S.No.32 of 2014 on the file of the learned District Munsif, Melur.



2.Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned Government Advocate (crl.side) appearing for the respondents 1 and 2, on instructions, would submit that on the complaint given by the third respondent, petition enquiry has been initiated in C.S.R.No.628 of 2020 and as of now, petition enquiry is pending on the file of the second respondent.

3.Heard the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner and learned Government Advocate (crl.side) appearing for the respondents 1 and 2.

4. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondents police have been harassing them under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

5.An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

6.This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

7.In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

8.In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a)While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b) The second respondent police is directed to serve summons mentioning the CSR number, date of complaint and the name of the



complainant

c)The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

dThe police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

e)The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]** shall be strictly adhered to.

9.With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CRL.side)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

cp

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1.The Commissioner of Police,
Commissioner of Police Office,
Madurai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Tallakulam Police Station (Law and Order),
Tallakulam.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Crl.O.P.[MD]No.10012 of 2020
21.09.2020

SRK(CO)
KB(30.09.2020) 3P 4C