WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED: 15.09.2020
CORAM
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN
W.P.(MD)No.11681 of 2020

M.Ahamed Thajdheen ... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer
Office of the Revenue Divisional Officer
Watrap Taluk
Virudhunagar District

2.The Thasildar
Kottaiyur
Watrap Taluk
Virudhunagar District ... Respondents

Prayer: Writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent No.1 to release the vehicle of the petitioner Tractor bearing Registration No.TN-49-AY-7743 and TN-67-AT-2808 Trailer within the time stipulated prescribed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy
For Respondents : Mrs.S.Srimathy
Special Government Pleader.

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel on either side. By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

2. The petition mentioned vehicle was seized in connection with the alleged illegal transportation of sand.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the vehicle was entrusted to one Veerasamy for the purpose of carrying out Kudimaramath work. The vehicle was seized as it was found in illegal transportation of soil dug out during such work. The Revenue Authority have initiated action against the said Veerasamy. But the petitioner's name is not figuring anywhere in the book.

4. The petitioner's counsel gives an undertaking that the petitioner will see to it that the vehicle is not involved in any other offences of similar nature. The vehicle will be produced before the authority or the Court concerned as and when required. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that the vehicle will not be alienated.

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

5.The submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is placed on record. If the undertaking given before this Court is breached, the benefit of this order will stand recalled and the vehicle in question will be taken back to custody and it will be released only after getting orders from this Court on such terms as this Court may deem it fit to impose.

6. I am of the view that keeping the petition mentioned vehicle in the custody of the respondents is not going to serve any purpose. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the decision reported in (2002) 10 SCC 283 (Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai and Others V. State of Gujarat) has held as follows:-

> *"17.In our view, whatever be the situation, it is of no use to keep such seized vehicles at the police stations for a long period. It is for the Magistrate to pass appropriate orders immediately by taking appropriate bond and guarantee as well as security for return of the said vehicles, if required at any point of time. This can be done pending hearing of applications for return of such vehicles.*

7. Therefore, the respondents are directed to release the said vehicle subject to the following conditions:-

a) *The petitioner is directed to pay a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) in favour of the Officer-in-Charge, High Court Legal Services Committee, Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai, S.B.A/c.No.496037387, IFSC : IDIB000H040, Indian Bank, High Court Branch, Madurai. It will be a non refundable payment. The Registrar (Judicial) is directed to spend the amount for appropriate welfare activities such as feeding the disadvantaged communities in Madurai District.*
b) *The petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle in question till the proceedings are completed.*
c) *The petitioner shall produce all the documents pertaining to the ownership of the seized vehicle.*
d) *As and when the respondents call for the vehicle for enquiry, the petitioner has to produce the vehicle in question and he shall cooperate with the enquiry to be conducted by the respondents.*

8. Upon completion of these formalities, the respondents shall release the vehicle forthwith without any delay. If this undertaking given by the petitioner is breached, the petitioner

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

WEB COPY

will not be entitled to interim release of the vehicle in future. The Writ Petition is allowed accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar ()

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

msa

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To:

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer
Office of the Revenue Divisional Officer
Watrap Taluk
Virudhunagar District

2.The Thasildar
Kottaiyur
Watrap Taluk
Virudhunagar District

Copy to :

1.The Registrar (Judicial),
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,Madurai.

2.The Officer Incharge,
High Court Legal Services Committee,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to MR.T. LAJAPATHI ROY, Advocate (SR-16977 [F] dated 15/09/2020)

+1 CC to SPL GP (SR-17155[F] dated 16/09/2020)

W.P.(MD)No.11681 of 2020
15.09.2020

SGS(CO)
KM (24.09.2020) 3P 7C

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/