



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 11/09/2020

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V.BHARATHIDASAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.9681 of 2020

Karthik Raja @ Karthik

... Petitioner/Accused No.2

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Puvandhi Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
(Crime No.214 of 2020)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.A.Ajmal Khan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-


For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.214 of 2020 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as A2, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under section 379 of IPC, in Crime No.214 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.Totally there are two accused in this case. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with A1 said to have tried to steal of goat from the defacto complainant's shed, at that time, the neighbours of the defacto complainant caught A1 red handed. Thereafter, A1 was arrested and remanded to judicial custody. Hence, the present complaint.

3.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.



4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that only based on the confession of A1, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner along with A1 said to have tried to steal of goat from the defacto complainant's shed, at that time, the neighbours of the defacto complainant caught A1 at red hand. Hence, the crime has been registered.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that only based on the confession of A1, the petitioner has been implicated in this case and there is no other allegation against the petitioner, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sivagangai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f)if the accused/petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
11/09/2020

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, SIVAGANGAI.
2. DO-THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PUVANDHI POLICE STATION, SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.S.A.AJMAL KHAN, Advocate (SR-6408[I] dated 15/09/2020)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9681 of 2020
Date :11/09/2020

MS/JC/SAR-3/16.09.2020/3P.6C