



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 11/09/2020

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice V.BHARATHIDASAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.9644 of 2020

1. Ramesh
2. Marimuthu

... Petitioners/Accused 1&2

Vs

The State rep. by
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Avudaiyarkoil Police Station,
Pudukottai District.
Crime No. 499 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lenin Kumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K. Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.499 of 2020 on the file of
the Respondent Police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as A1 and A2, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under section 379 of I.P.C., r/w Sections 21(1)(2) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulations) Act, 1957, in Crime No.499 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners said to have illegally transported quarter unit of river sand by using two Bullock carts. Hence, a complaint has been registered.

3.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent.



4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that insofar as the first petitioner is concerned, there is no previous case pending against him. He further submitted that the second petitioner is having one previous case of similar in nature.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the quantum of sand and there is no previous case pending against the first petitioner, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Aranthangi, Pudukottai District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
11/09/2020

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ARANTHANGI, PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.
2. -DO- THROUGH: THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.
3. THE SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
AVUDAIYARKOIL POLICE STATION,
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9644 of 2020
Date :11/09/2020

VSG
SRS/ JC/ SAR-IV/ 16.09.2020/ 3P/5C