



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 17.12.2020

CORAM:

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P. (MD).No.8504 of 2015

and

M.P. (MD)No.2 of 2015

P.Anitha

... Petitioner

-Vs-

The Member Secretary,
Tamil Nadu Uniformed Services Recruitment Board,
Chennai-2.

... Respondent

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records relating to the impugned online rejection letter of the respondent rejecting the petitioner's application in connection with the Direct Recruitment for the post of Sub-Inspectors of Police (Taluk) (Men and Women)-2015 vide Advertisement No.1/15 and Notification No.1/15, dated 08.02.2015 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondent to accept the petitioner's application and issue her the hall ticket to write the exam and proceed with the selection process.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Venkateswaran

For Respondent : Mr.P.Mahendran
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

The application submitted by the petitioner, pursuant to the recruitment notification, dated 08.02.2015 for recruitment to the post of Sub-Inspector of Police, is under challenge in the present writ petition.

2.The Tamil Nadu Uniformed Services Recruitment Board issued a notification for recruitment to the post of Sub-Inspector of Police on 08.02.2015. The petitioner submitted her application to participate in the process of selection. However, the application was rejected for the following reasons:-

- "1.Photo/Signature Not Proper
- 2.Age Qualification Criteria Not Met/Proof Not Proper



3.Educational Qualification Not Met/Proof Not Proper

4.Rule 14 of TNPSS Eligibility Criteria Not Met/Proof Not Proper"

WEB COPY

3.The petitioner states that passed an on-line application. Therefore, the petitioner must be given an opportunity to correct the mistake, if any occurred. This Court is of the considered opinion that the application once rejected on certain grounds as per the instructions issued to the candidates, then, there is no reason to interfere with the process of selection at this length of time. The recruitment was conducted in the year 2015 and now five years lapsed and the reason for rejection of application was also furnished to the petitioner. This being the factum, the relief as such sought for to accept the application submitted by the petitioner could not arise at all.

4.Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (RTI)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

sjj

To

The Member Secretary,
Tamil Nadu Uniformed Services Recruitment Board,
Chennai-2.

W.P. (MD) .No.8504 of 2015

17.12.2020

MJ (CO)

NR (28/12/2020) 2P : 2C