



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 22.09.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.PONGIAPPAN

CrI.O.P. (MD)No.9769 of 2020

K.Sahaya Vimala

... Petitioner

-VS-

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Tirunelveli District,
Tirunelveli.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Koodankulam Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

3.Berkmans

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code to direct the second respondent not to harass the petitioner based on the complaint of the petitioner dated 04.07.2020.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Selvan

For R1 and R2 : Mr.C.M.Ganesan
Government Advocate (CrI.side)

O R D E R

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking a direction to direct the second respondent not to harass the petitioners under the guise of enquiry.

2.Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned Government Advocate (crl.side) appearing for the respondents 1 and 2, on instructions, would submit that upon the complaint given by the third respondent, petition enquiry has been initiated in C.S.R.No.365/2019 against the petitioner and as of now, the same was pending on the file of the second respondent.

3.Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Advocate (crl.side) for the respondents 1 and 2.



4. It is the grievance of the petitioners that the respondents police have been harassing them under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

5. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

6. This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

7. In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

8. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b) The second respondent police is directed to serve summons mentioning the CSR number, date of complaint and the name of the complainant.

c) The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

d) The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.



e)The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]** shall be strictly adhered to.

9. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Crl.Side)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

cp

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Tirunelveli District,
Tirunelveli.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Koodankulam Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
3. Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Crl.O.P. (MD)No.9769 of 2020

22.09.2020

VR (CO)

CS (30.09.2020) 3P 4C