



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10/09/2020

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V.BHARATHIDASAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.9534 of 2020

Mohandass ... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

The State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Mannachanallur Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No. 1159 of 2020).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lenin Kumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For an Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.1159 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/sole accused apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 379 of I.P.C. r/w Section 21(1) of Tamil Nadu Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act 1957, in Crime No.1159 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has transported five gunny bags of sand illegally by using TATA Indica Car bearing Reg.No.TN-31-AB-8173. Hence, a case has been registered.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case.



4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the State submitted that the total quantity of sand involved is five gunny bags and the same was recovered by the respondent police.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Trichy, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(f)If the petitioner/accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
10/09/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.III, TRICHY.
- 2.DO-THROUGH :THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TRICHY DISTRICT.
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MANNACHANALLUR POLICE STATION,
TRICHY DISTRICT.
- 4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9534 of 2020
Date :10/09/2020

sjj
AE/JC/SAR-II (14.09.2020) 3P 5C