



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 03/09/2020

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice V.BHARATHIDASAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.9314 of 2020

Kanagaraj

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Allinagaram Police Station,
Theni District.
Crime No. 2094 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.K.Gokul,
Advocate.

For Respondent : M/s.S.E.Veronica Vincent,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.2094 of 2020 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/sole accused apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 506(i) of I.P.C. and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.2094 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the de-facto complainant, the petitioner said to have attacked the de-facto complainant and scolded her with filthy language. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is the husband of the de-facto complainant and he is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by



the prosecution. He would further submit that due to family dispute between the parties, there was a wordy quarrel between them and both of them attacked each other.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the State would submit that due to family dispute between the parties, on the date of occurrence, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the de-facto complainant. Due to which, the petitioner and the de-facto complainant attacked each other. Hence, the crime was registered.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that due to family dispute between the parties and the occurrence was said to have place, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Theni, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the petitioner/accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.


sd/-

03/09/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, THENI.
2. DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THENI DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALLINAGARAM POLICE STATION,
THENI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9314 of 2020
Date :03/09/2020

SJI
TE/AKM/SAR-I : 07/09/2020 : 3P/5C