



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 04/09/2020

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice V.BHARATHIDASAN

CRL OP (MD).No.9221 of 2020

G.Sankar

... Petitioner/1st Accused

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Ramanathapuram District.
Crime No.34 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Kumaravel,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-


For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.34 of 2020 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner is arrayed as Accused No.1, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 188, 269, 420, 465, 471 of I.P.C. r/w 3 of Epidemic Disease Act 1897 and 51(b) of Disaster Management Act 2005, in Crime No.34 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The allegation against the petitioner is that he was running a Computer Centre and he has fabricated a E-Pass as if it was issued by the District Collector, Ramanathapuram. Using the same, the other accused persons said to have travelled from Chennai to Keelakarai.

3.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.



4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the E-Pass has been issued by the Collectorate, however, vehicle number alone was changed in the E-Pass and the petitioner has not created any fabricated E-Pass. He would further submit that the co-accused were already granted anticipatory bail.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the State would submit that the investigation is pending.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the co-accused were already granted anticipatory bail, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty five thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f)if the petitioner/accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.


sd/-

04/09/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE No.II, RAMANATHAPURAM.
2. DO-THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.K.KUMARAVEL, Advocate (SR-6262[I] dated 07/09/2020)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9221 of 2020
Date :04/09/2020

VSD
TE/AKM/SAR-III : 09/09/2020 : 3P/6C