



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 31/08/2020

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V.BHARATHIDASAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.9133 of 2020

N.Gopal @ Goplakrishnan

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Lalapert Police Station,
Karur.

Crime No. 456 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.M.A.Jinnah,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.Saravana Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 456 of 2020 on the file of
the Respondent Police


ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is the sole accused, apprehending arrest at
the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under
section 379 of I.P.C., r/w Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals
(Development and Regulations) Act, 1957, in Crime No.456 of 2020 on
the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner said to
have illegally transported three gunny bags of river sand by using
Innova Car. Hence, a complaint has been registered.

3.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and
the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the
respondent.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted
that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed



any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that there are thirty six previous cases pending against the petitioner of similar in nature and he has already been detained under Goondas Act in three times.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that there are thirty six previous cases pending against the petitioner of similar in nature and he has already been detained under Act 14, 1982, and the petitioner is a habitual offender, hence, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

sd/-
31/08/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
LALAPERT POLICE STATION,
KARUR

2.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9133 of 2020
Date :31/08/2020

vsg
PK/VR/SAR-3/02.09.2020 2P/3C