



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 31/08/2020

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V.BHARATHIDASAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.9144 of 2020

1. Venkaiyan(A1)
2. Prakash(A2)
3. Varges (A3)
4. Chinna Antony(A4)
5. Gandhi(A8)
6. Manikandan(A9)
7. Mariya Antony(A10)
8. Jamesh(A11)
9. Prabhakaran(A12)

... Petitioners/Accused
A1,A2,A3,A4,A8,A9,
A10,A11 & A12

Vs

State:The Inspector of Police,
Eral Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
Crime No. 405/2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr. G.Thalaimutharasu,
Advocate.


For Respondent : Mr.K. Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 405 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners who are arrayed as apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 147, 148, 448, 294(b), 323, 324, 427 and 506(ii) of IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.405 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that one group headed by Village Head and the another group headed by Village President in the village. Due to the motive with regard to administration of the village, there was a quarrel between the parties, the petitioners said to have assembled unlawfully with deadly weapons and trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and abused her with filthy language and attacked her with hands and deadly weapons and caused simple injurious and also damaged the household articles for a value of Rs.1,09,910/-. Hence, the complaint.

3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that, as a counter blast, the present case has been foisted against the petitioners. Hence, he seek anticipatory bail.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), on instructions, submitted that this is a case in counter and the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital.

6. Considering the fact and circumstances of the case and considering the fact this is case in counter and the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Srivaikundam, Thoothukudi District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
31/08/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SRIVAİKUNDAM, THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
2. DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ERAI POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9144 of 2020
Date : 31/08/2020

KSA

AE/VR/SAR-II (02.09.2020) 3P 5C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>