



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)
Date : 28/08/2020

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V.BHARATHIDASAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.9085 of 2020

1. Arunagiri
2. Murugan
3. Achanna
4. Subburaj
5. Gurusamy
6. Manoharan

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 6

Vs

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Kadambur Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No. 88 of 2020).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr. P.Venkatesan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For an Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.88 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners are arrayed as A-1 to A-6, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Section 147, 294(b), 323, 355, 427 and 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No.88 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that in a funeral ceremony, when the de-facto complainant was sitting in the chair, at the time, the first petitioner herein questioning the same and scolded the de-facto complainant. In this regard, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the de-facto complainant, in which, the petitioners said to have stripped the de-facto complainant naked and



attacked the de-facto complainant and abused him with filthy language and caused several injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in the above said case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the State would submit that the investigation is pending.

5.On perusal of the records, it is seen that the the petitioners acted in a cruel manner, merely, because the de-facto complainant sitting in the chair, the petitioners are said to have stripped him naked and attacked him and caused severe injuries.

6.Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Hence, this petition stands dismissed.

sd/-
28/08/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KADAMBUR POLICE STATION, THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
- 2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9085 of 2020
Date :28/08/2020

SJI
AE/VR/SAR-III (02.09.2020) 2P 3C