



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 31/08/2020

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice V. BHARATHIDASAN

CRL OP(MD). No.9120 of 2020

Saravanan @ Saravanakumar

... Petitioner/Accused No.5

Vs

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thazamuthunagar Police Station,
Thoothukudi District
Cr No.287/2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.C.Senthil Murugan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Chandra sekaran,
Additional Public Prosecutor.

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 287 of 2020 on the file of the Respondent Police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner who is arrayed as A-5 apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 147, 148, 448, 294(b), 323, 324, 307 & 506(ii) of I.P.C. and Section 3 of TNPPDL Act, in Crime No.287 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there are totally five accused in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A-5. The allegation is that the petitioner and the father-in-law of the defacto complainant are neighbours. Due to a civil dispute between the parties, the petitioner along with the other accused persons said to have assembled unlawfully and trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and abused him by using filthy language and attacked with iron rod and wooden log and also damaged the household articles for the worth of Rs.2 Lakhs. Hence, the complaint.



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that except this petitioner all the other accused were enlarged on bail. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

5. The learned Government Advocate (criminal side), on instructions, submitted that the injured has already discharged from the hospital. He further submitted that the other accused were enlarged on bail.

6. Considering the fact and circumstances of the case and submission made by the learned Government Advocate (criminal side), this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Thoothukudi, and on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that,

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f)if the accused/petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
31/08/2020

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II,
THOOTHUKUDI.
2. -DO- THROUGH: THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THAZAMUTHUNAGAR POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9120 of 2020
Date :31/08/2020

KSA
SRS/ AKM/ SAR-II/ 02.09.2020/ 3P/5C