



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 27/08/2020

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice V. BHARATHIDASAN

CRL OP(MD). No.9015 of 2020

1. Periyasamy
2. Vellaisamy
3. Rasu
4. Palaniyammal

... Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 4

Vs

The State rep. by
Inspector of Police,
Nilakkottai Police Station,
Dindigul District,
In Crime No.327 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s. P. Krishnasamy,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K. Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 327 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as A-1 to A-4 apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 & 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No.327 of 2020, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to family dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, the petitioners said to have abused the defacto complainant using filthy language and attacked him with stick and also made life threat with dire consequences and the fourth petitioner attacked the defacto complainant with hands. Hence, the complaint.



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that due to family dispute between the parties a false complaint has been preferred by the defacto complainant. Hence, he seek anticipatory bail.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), on instructions, submitted that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital.

6. Considering the fact and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital and the petitioners are close relatives of the defacto complainant, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nilakkottai, Dindigul District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty thousand only) with **common surety** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR



(f)if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
27/08/2020

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NILAKKOTTAI, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
2. -DO- THROUGH: THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NILAKKOTTAI POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9015 of 2020
Date :27/08/2020

KSA
SRS/ JC/ SAR-III/ 01.09.2020/ 3P/5C