



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 06.08.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.R.SWAMINATHAN**

W.P. (MD)No.8898 of 2020

Nagappan

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Assistant Director of Geology and Mining,
Pudukottai District,
Pudukottai.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Aranthangi,
Pudukottai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the second respondent to release the petitioner's Bullock Cart, seized by the second respondent on 20.06.2020, to the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lenin Kumar

For Respondents : Ms.S.Srimathy
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel on either side. By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

2. The petition mentioned vehicle was seized in connection with alleged illegal transportation of sand.

3.The learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents states that till date no First Information Report has been registered. The enquiry in this regard is still pending.

4. The petitioner's counsel gives an undertaking that the petitioner will see to it that the vehicle is not involved in any other offences of similar nature. The vehicle will be produced before the authority or the Court concerned as and when required. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that the vehicle will not be alienated.

5. The submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is placed on record. If the undertaking given before this Court is



breached, the benefit of this order will stand recalled and the vehicle in question will be taken back to custody and it will be released only after getting orders from this Court on such terms as this Court may deem it fit to impose.

6. I am of the view that keeping the petition mentioned vehicle in the custody of the respondents is not going to serve any purpose. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the decision reported in (2002) 10 SCC 283 (Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai and Others V. State of Gujarat) has held as follows:-

"17. In our view, whatever be the situation, it is of no use to keep such seized vehicles at the police stations for a long period. It is for the Magistrate to pass appropriate orders immediately by taking appropriate bond and guarantee as well as security for return of the said vehicles, if required at any point of time. This can be done pending hearing of applications for return of such vehicles.

7. Therefore, the respondents are directed to release the said vehicle forthwith and without any delay. If this undertaking given by the petitioner is breached, the petitioner will not be entitled to interim release of the vehicle in future. The Writ Petition is allowed accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AS)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

dss/ias

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To:

1. The Assistant Director of Geology and Mining,
Pudukottai District,
Pudukottai.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Aranthangi,
Pudukottai District.

W.P. (MD)No.8898 of 2020

06.08.2020

KK(07.08.2020) 2P 3C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>