



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **03.08.2020**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **R.PONGIAPPAN**

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.8090 of 2020

T.Illayaraja

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.State rep.by

The Inspector of Police,
Thattaparai Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.163 of 2020)

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Thoothukudi District, Thoothukudi.

3.Esakkimuthu

...Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., to direct the learned Additional District Judge No.II for PCR Cases, Tirunelveli to consider the bail application on the same day in crime No.163 of 2020 registered on the file of the first respondent police and investigated by the second respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Anandkumar

For R-1 and R-2 : Mr.S.Chandrasekar

Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

This criminal original petition has been filed seeking a direction to direct the learned II Additional Sessions Judge, (PCR Cases), Tirunelveli, to consider the petitioner's bail application on the day of surrender and dispose on the same date on merits and in accordance with law.

2.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that based on the complaint given by one Esakkimuthu, the second respondent police registered a case against the petitioner in Crime No.163 of 2020, under Sections 288, 304 of I.P.C., and Section 8 and 9 of Prohibition of Employment as manual Scavenger & Their Rehabilitation Act, 2013 and Section 3(1)(j) of SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989. He would further submit that in the alleged occurrence, the petitioner was arrayed as first accused. When the the deceased cleaning the waste in the septic tank, accidentally the occurrence was happened. Therefore, registering the case against the petitioner is unlawful. However, the petitioner is ready to surrender before the Jurisdictional Magistrate and thereby it is necessary to direct the II Additional Sessions Judge, (PCR Cases), Tirunelveli, to consider the petitioner's bail application



on the day of surrender.

3.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents 1 and 2 would submit that since there is a bar in filing an application for anticipatory bail in respect to the cases registered under the provisions of the SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, this Court, by exercising its power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., directs the Trial Judge to consider the bail application filed by the accused on the same day immediately upon his surrender. He would further submit that in this case, the gravity of the offence committed by the petitioner is very serious and as of now, investigation has not been completed. In the said circumstances, if the prayer sought for by the petitioner is allowed in his favour, it is very difficult for the Investigating Officer to complete the investigation.

4.Upon considering the rival submissions made by either side, it is true in a case referred by the petitioner, apart from 3(1)(j) of SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, the offence under Sections 288, 304 of I.P.C., and Sections 8 and 9 of Prohibition of Employment as manual Scavenger & Their Rehabilitation Act, 2013 had also been registered against the petitioner. Further in the alleged occurrence, two persons were died. In the said circumstances, the involvement of the petitioner has to be thoroughly investigated and then only the Investigation Officer came to the correct conclusion. Therefore, if any direction is issued in favour of the petitioner, it amounts to interfering with the investigation conducted by the first respondent. Hence, this Court is not inclined to allow this petition.

5.With the above observations, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar ()

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

gns

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.



To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Thattaparai Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Thoothukudi District, Thoothukudi.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Crl.O.P. (MD)No.8090 of 2020
03.08.2020

KB(14.08.2020) 3P 4C