



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30/07/2020

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V.BHARATHIDASAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.7936 of 2020

1. Sermadurai
2. Rajeswari
3. Muniyandi

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 3

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Nazareth Police Station,
Nazareth,
Thoothukudi District.
Crime No.198 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: Mr.K.Arunraj, Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.198 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as Accused Nos.1 to 3, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under section 306 of IPC, in Crime No.198 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the first and second petitioners are husband and wife and the third petitioner is the sister husband of the second petitioner. Due to civil dispute with regard to the construction of a house, there was a frequent quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, for which, the petitioner were said to have abused the defacto complainant by using filthy language and also assaulted him. Due to which, the defacto complainant's wife was said to have committed suicide. Hence, the present complaint.



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that due to civil dispute, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he seek anticipatory bail.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), appearing for the respondent police submitted that the first petitioner and the defacto complainant are brothers. He further submitted that due to frequent quarrel, the occurrence said to have taken place. Hence, he opposed.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the rival submission on either side and on perusal of the FIR, it is seen that due to civil dispute with regard to the construction of a house between the brothers and there was a frequent quarrel between the parties, due to the same, the deceased/wife of the defacto complainant committed suicide. Considering the materials available on records and the nature of the allegations, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sathankulam, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only) with common surety for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
30/07/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, SATHANKULAM.
2. DO-THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, NAZARETH POLICE STATION,
NAZARETH, THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7936 of 2020
Date : 30/07/2020

MS/JC/SAR-2/03.08.2020/3P.5C