



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **30.07.2020**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **R.PONGIAPPAN**

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7924 of 2020

WEB COPY

1.B.K.Janar Adhithyan

2.B.J.Sharmila

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.The Commissioner of Police,
O/o. The Commissioner of Police,
Madurai City.

2.The Inspector of Police,
O/o. The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Madurai City.

3.P.Selva Kumar

4.S.Padmavathi

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to direct the respondent No.2 not to harass the petitioners in the guise of enquiry.

For Petitioners

: Mr.S.M.A.Jinnah

For R1 and R2

: Mr.S.Chandrasekar

Additional Public Prosecutor

For R3 and R4

: Mr.R.Gandhi

O R D E R

This criminal original petition has been filed seeking a direction to direct the second respondent police not to harass the petitioners in the guise of enquiry.

2.Today, when the petition is came up for hearing, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the first and second respondents would submit that based on the complaint given by the third respondent, the second respondent police had initiated two enquiries in D.C.No.337/CAMP/PG/COP/MC/2020, dated 08.06.2020 and D.C.No.14/COP/PG/INS/CCB/MC/2020, dated 27.07.2020 and same were pending on the file of the second respondent for enquiry.

3.Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondents 1 and 2 and the

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



learned counsel for the respondents 3 and 4.

4.It is the grievance of the petitioners that the second respondent police has been harassing them under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence,invoking the inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C is necessary for protecting the rights of the petitioners.

5.An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

6.This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

7.In the present case in hand, the petitioners have complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioners may not be the same to the police officer.

8.In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a)While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b) The second respondent police is directed to serve summons mentioning the CSR number, date of complaint and the name of the complainant.

c)The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

d)The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.



e)The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]** shall be strictly adhered to.

9.With the above observations and directions, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Records)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

gns

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

- 1.The Commissioner of Police,
O/o. The Commissioner of Police,
Madurai City.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
O/o. The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Madurai City.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.R. GANDHI, Advocate (SR-13426[F] dated 31/07/2020)

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7924 of 2020
30.07.2020

AP(07/08/2020) 3P 5C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>