



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 28/07/2020

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V.BHARATHIDASAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.7832 of 2020

A.Anil Kumar @ Aali

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Colachel Police Station,
Kanyakumari,
Kanyakumari District.
Crime No. 275 of 2020

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Jawahar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K. Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-


For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 275 of 2020 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is the sole accused, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 294(b), 307 and 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No.275 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to wordy quarrel arose between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, the petitioner was said to have attacked the defacto complainant with knife on the stomach of the defacto complainant. Hence, the present complaint.

3.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.



4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that due to previous enmity, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he seek anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police submitted that due to wordy quarrel, the petitioner was said to have attacked the defacto complainant with knife and caused simple injury. He further submitted that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital on 17.06.2020.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that due to wordy quarrel, the occurrence said to have taken place and the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital on 17.06.2020, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate Court, Eraniel, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f)if the accused/petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
28/07/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

WEB COPY

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, ERANIEL.
2. DO-THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT AT NAGERCOIL.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, COLACHEL POLICE STATION,
KANYAKUMARI, KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7832 of 2020
Date :28/07/2020

MS/PN/SAR-2/29.07.2020/3P.5C