



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30/07/2020

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V.BHARATHIDASAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.7730 of 2020

M.Pandithurai

... Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Kariyapatti Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.765/2020)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Balamurugan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 765 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as A1, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 294(b), 342, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No.765 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are neighbours. The petitioner and other accused person were standing in front of the defacto complainant's house and abused third parties in filthy language. When the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, there was a wordy quarrel between the parties, in which, the petitioner and other accused person were said to have abused by using filthy language and also assaulted him with blade and caused injury. Hence, the present complaint.



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that due to previous enmity, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he seek anticipatory bail.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police submitted that totally there are three accused. He further submitted that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are neighbours. He further submitted that the petitioner is having five previous cases. He further submitted that due to wordy quarrel, the occurrence said to have taken place. He further submitted that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are neighbours, due to wordy quarrel, the occurrence said to have taken place and the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Virudhunagar, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions



Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f)if the accused/petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

sd/-
30/07/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, VIRUDHUNAGAR.
2. DO-THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILIPUTTUR.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KARIYAPATTI POLICE STATION, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7730 of 2020
Date :30/07/2020

MS/PN/SAR-2/03.08.2020/3P.5C