



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 27/07/2020

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice V.BHARATHIDASAN

CRL OP (MD) . No.7799 of 2020

Ravi

... Petitioner/Accused No.3

Vs

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Adirampattanam Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
Cr.No. 72 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.B.Anandan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K. Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 72 of 2020 on the file of
the Respondent Police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147,
148, 294(b), 506(ii), 332 & 307 of IPC in Crime No. 72 of 2020,
seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is
arrayed as accused No.3 and the defacto complainant is the Village
Assistant of Sendakottai Village, Pattukkottai Taluk. On 08.03.2020,
when the defacto complainant proceeded his two-wheeler from
Sendakottai to his house, the petitioner and other accused persons
dashed the defacto complainant's two wheeler through Ambassdor Car
and assaulted by using iron rod and also threatened him with dire
consequences. Hence, the complaint.



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (criminal side).

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has never committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the petitioner has falsely roped in this case. He would further submit that A-2 and A-4 were already granted bail and A-1 and A-5 were already granted anticipatory bail. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor on instructions, submitted that A-2 and A-4 were already granted bail and A-1 and A-5 were already granted anticipatory bail.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact that A-2 and A-4 were already granted bail and A-1 and A-5 were already granted anticipatory bail, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Pattukkottai on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR**



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
27/07/2020

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PATTUKKOTTAI.
2. DO THROUGH
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT AT KUMBAKONAM.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ADIRAMPATTANAM POLICE STATION,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7799 of 2020
Date :27/07/2020

KSA
SRS/ PN/ SAR-II/ 30.07.2020/ 3P/5C