



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 09.07.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE R.PONGIAPPAN

CRL OP(MD)No.7208 of 2020

V.Palanisamy

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Taluk Police Station,
Palani,
Dindigul District.

3.Duraipandi

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, praying to direct the 2nd respondent not to interfere with the civil dispute with regard to properties in S.No.441/2B and S.No.441/2C, situate in Periammapatti Village, Palani Taluk, Dindigul District.


For Petitioner	:	Mr.N.Mohan
For Respondents	:	Mr.S.Chandrasekar
(R1 & R2)		Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed praying to direct the 2nd respondent not to interfere with the civil dispute with regard to the properties in S.No.441/2B and S.No.441/2C, situate in Periammapatti Village, Palani Taluk, Dindigul District.

2. Mr.N.Mohan, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the 2nd respondent Police unnecessarily calling and harassing the petitioner under the guise of enquiry. He would further submit that the petitioner and the 3rd respondent is having civil dispute for which, a civil suit is also pending in O.S.No.54 of 2020, on the file of the District Munsif Court, Palani. Accordingly, he prayed to pass an order of direction "Not to harass" petitioner.

3. Mr.S.Chandrasekar, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent Police, on instructions, would submit that on the complaint given by the petitioner, petition enquiry was initiated in CSR No.222 of 2020, dated 03.06.2020. He would



further submit that based on the complaint given by the 3rd respondent, another enquiry is initiated in CSR No.269 of 2020, dated 03.07.2020. According to him, as of now, petition enquiry is pending with the 2nd respondent Police.

4. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent police.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondent police has been harassing him under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

6. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b) The respondent police is directed to serve summons mentioning the CSR number, date of complaint and the name of the complainant.



c) The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

d) The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

e) The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]** shall be strictly adhered to.

10. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

MPK

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Taluk Police Station,
Palani,
Dindigul District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

CRL OP (MD) No. 7208 of 2020

09.07.2020

SPU (14.07.2020) 3P 4C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>