



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 06.07.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

W.P. (MD)No.7337 of 2020

P.Senthilkumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Revenue Divisional Office,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.

2. The Tahsildar,
Karambakudi,
Pudukkottai District.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Karambakudi police station,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.

4. The Assistant Director,
Mines and Minerals Department,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to release the vehicle(Tipper lorry) bearing Registration No.TN-49AB-3267, Chassis No.YPE622585 to the petitioner by considering the petitioner's representation dated 08.05.2020.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Mathavan

For Respondents : Mr.M.Rajarajan,
Government Advocate.

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel on either side. With their consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

2.The petition mentioned vehicle was seized on 07.05.2020 on the ground that it was having gravel.

3.The learned Government Advocate states that till date no First Information Report has been registered. The enquiry in this regard is still pending. The learned counsel for the petitioner



affirms before this Court that the petitioner's vehicle was not involved in any previous incident of sand theft or illegal transportation of sand.

4. The submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is placed on record. If this submission turns out to be false, the order now passed by this Court would stand recalled and the petitioner will be visited with serious consequences. I am of the view that keeping the petition mentioned vehicle in the custody of the respondents is not going to serve any purpose. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the decision reported in (2002) 10 SCC 283 (Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai and Others V. State of Gujarat) has held as follows:-

"17. In our view, whatever be the situation, it is of no use to keep such seized vehicles at the police stations for a long period. It is for the Magistrate to pass appropriate orders immediately by taking appropriate bond and guarantee as well as security for return of the said vehicles, if required at any point of time. This can be done pending hearing of applications for return of such vehicles."

5. Therefore, the respondents are directed to release the said vehicle subject to the following conditions.

- a) The petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- to the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust. He shall execute an affidavit of undertaking that he shall not involve in any offence in future that may lead to the seizure of the vehicle in question. The petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the lorry till the proceedings are completed.
- b) The petitioner shall produce all the documents pertaining to the ownership of the seized vehicle.
- c) This order for release of the vehicle can be pressed into service by the petitioner only if the vehicle is not in the custody of the criminal Court. If the vehicle is in the custody of concerned Criminal Court of appropriate jurisdiction, then, option is given to the petitioner to approach the concerned Judicial Magistrate to get release of the vehicle, by filing necessary application in the manner known to law.
- d) As and when the respondents call for the vehicle for enquiry, the petitioner has to produce the vehicle in question and he shall cooperate with the enquiry to be conducted by the respondents.

6. Upon completion of these formalities, the respondents shall release the vehicle forthwith without any delay. If this undertaking given by the petitioner is breached, the petitioner will not be entitled to interim release of the vehicle in future.



WEB COPY

3

W.P.(MD)NO.7337 of 2020

7.This writ petition stands allowed on these terms. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Records)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

pmu

Note:

In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To:

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Revenue Divisional Office,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.
2. The Tahsildar,
Karambakudi,
Pudukkottai District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Karambakudi police station,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.
4. The Assistant Director,
Mines and Minerals Department,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.

W.P. (MD)No.7337 of 2020
06.07.2020

KB(07.07.2020) 3P 5C