



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED: 06.07.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M. SATHYANARAYANAN
and
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. RAJAMANICKAM

W.P (MD) No. 7207 of 2020

and

W.M.P. (MD) Nos. 6641 to 6643 of 2020

WEB COPY

K. Justin Jose
represented by his power agent
JeenKiruba

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Authorized Officer,
Tamilnadu Mercantile Bank,
Thalakulam Branch,
1-99B/3, Collachel Road,
Thingal Nagar, Neyyoor Post,
Thalakulam,
Kanyakumari District,
Tamil Nadu-629 802.

2. R. Rajendran

3. R. Suresh Kumar

4. P. Jaya Chandran

5. T. Sasi Kumar

6. R. David Raj

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorari and Mandamus calling for the records relating to the impugned sale certificate issued by the first respondent in favour of respondents 2 to 6 dated 28.05.2019 and 18.03.2020 by fixing and offering very low sale price and thereby set aside the same and direct the respondent to fix the proper value for the land, machinery's and building in extent of 65 cents of land in R.S.No.631/6 in KoticodeDesam, Kothanalur Village (now Kumaapuram Village), Kalkulam Taluk, Kanyakumari District.

For Petitioner
For Respondents

: Mr. G. Cenil
: Mr. N. Dilip Kumar
Standing Counsel for R.1



ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **M.SATHYANARAYANAN, J.**)

The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw this Writ Petition and he also circulated a letter in this regard.

2. This Court heard the submissions of the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the first respondent.

3. In view of the submission made by the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner, this Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petitions are also dismissed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AS)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

vs/ssl

Note:- In view of the present lock down owing to COVID - 19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate / litigant concerned.

W.P(MD)No.7207 of 2020

06.07.2020

AP(14/07/2020) 2P 1C