



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 17/06/2020

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble **Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI**

CRL OP(MD) . No.6290 of 2020

Selvaraj,

... Petitioner/2nd Accused

Vs

State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
CCIW Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
Crime No.2 of 2019.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Ramsundarvijayaraj
for M/s. Veera Associates,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-


For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 2 of 2019 on the file of the
Respondent Police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the
learned Government Advocate(Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

2. The petitioner apprehending arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 408,
409, 471, 477(A)IPC in Crime No.2 of 2019, on the file of the
respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

3.The case of the prosecution is that an enquiry conducted
under Section 81 of the Tamil Nadu Co-operative Societies Act, the
petitioner, who is a Salesman, found guilty along with other
accused, for causing financial loss to the Society to the tune of



Rs.27,40,991/- which includes cash deficit and shortage of gold. Hence, the complaint.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case.

5.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the State would submit that an enquiry conducted under Section 81 of the Tamil Nadu Co-operative Societies Act, the petitioner, who is a salesman, found guilty along with other accused, for causing financial loss to the Society to the tune of Rs.27,40,991/-. He would further submit that the investigation is in preliminary stage. He would also submit that the earlier bail application of the petitioner was dismissed only on 06.03.2020 and there is no change in circumstances. Hence, he prays for dismissal of the petition.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the misappropriation of huge amount to the tune of Rs.27,40,991/- involved in this case and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, at this stage. Hence, this petition is dismissed.

sd/-
17/06/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CCIW POLICE STATION,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
- 2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.6290 of 2020
Date :17/06/2020

rmk/skn
AE/PN/SAR-III (23.06.2020) 2P 3C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>