



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)
Date : 12/06/2020
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.R.SWAMINATHAN

CRL OP(MD). No.6005 of 2020

Jaffer Sathick ... Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Veeravanallur Police Station,
Tirunelveli in
Crime No.374 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.D.S.Haroon Rasheed,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.A.Robinson,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime.No.374 of 2020 on the file of
the Respondent police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-


Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the
learned Government Advocate(Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

2. The petitioner apprehending arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 465,
468, 484, 488, 188, 202 and 109 of I.P.C., in Crime No.374 of 2020
on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) states that the
case involves preparing of fake transit e-passes during this
pandemic period and the petitioner's earlier petition for
anticipatory bail suffered dismissal and that there is no change in
circumstances.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner points out that A2 to
A4 are drivers, who had used bogus vehicle e-passes and under the
erroneous impression that the petitioner is the brother of A2, he
has been falsely implicated. The petitioner's counsel states that
the investigation by the police reveals that one Ashok, who is
running a computer centre, had actually fabricated the e-passes. The
petitioner's counsel claims that the petitioner's wife is now 9
months pregnant and their marriage is love marriage and there is no
one to look after her, if the petitioner is arrested. He undertakes
that the petitioner will co-operate with the investigation.

5. Recording the said undertaking of the petitioner to co-
operate with the investigation, I am inclined to grant anticipatory



bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmadevi and on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation. The petitioner shall comply with the conditions stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C scrupulously.

6. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of eight weeks from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed.

sd/-

12/06/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID -19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
CHERANMADEVI,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 2 -DO- THRO
THE CHEIFJUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTIRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VEERAVANALLUR POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.6005 of 2020

Date :12/06/2020