



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 17/03/2020

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Dr. Justice G. JAYACHANDRAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.4558 of 2020

1. A.Jerold
2. K.Jeyachitra
3. Sarojadevi

... Petitioners/Accused
Rank not known

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Manapparai Police Station,
Trichy District.
Cr No.Not Known of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: M/s.A.Joel Paul Antony,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-


for Anticipatory bail in Crime No.Not Known of 2020 on the file
of the respondent police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and
the learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the
respondent.

2.The petitioners apprehending arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 406, 420
and 506(i) of IPC, in Crime No. Not Known of 2020, on the file of
the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

3.Based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant viz.,
Ponnan, alleging that the petitioners have collected Rs.4,00,000/-
promising to get a job in the Government School to the defacto
complainant's daughter, the respondent has taken up the complaint



and enquiring the matter. In such circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court for anticipatory bail apprehending arrest for the offences under Sections 406, 420 and 506(i) of IPC.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that it is purely a money dispute. He would further submit that the petitioners have paid the amount of Rs.2,00,000/- and for the balance amount of Rs.2,00,000/-, cheque was given. Since the said cheque bounced, the defacto complainant had initiated proceedings under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that only if the petitioners participated in the enquiry, truth will come.

6.This Court is of the considered view that there is no necessity to grant anticipatory. However, if the petitioners cooperate with the investigation, the respondent police shall not take any coercive measures. On completion of the investigation, if there is any cognizable offences committed by the petitioners, they can proceed against the petitioners in accordance with law.

7.With the above direction, these criminal original petitions are disposed of.

sd/-
17/03/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MANAPPARAI POLICE STATION,
TRICHY DISTRICT.
2. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.A.JOEL PAUL ANTONY, Advocate (SR-5383[I] dated 17/03/2020)

ORDER IN
CRL OP(MD) No.4558 of 2020
Date :17/03/2020

VSG
TE/VR/SAR-III : 22/05/2020 : 2P/4C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>