



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 21.07.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

W.P. (MD) .No. 4882 of 2020

S.Ilavarasi

...Petitioner

Vs.

State Bank of India,
represented by its
Assistant General Manager,
stressed Assets Recovery Branch (SARB),
Vinayaganagar Branch,
No.8, Dr.Ambedkar Road,
Madurai-625 020.

...Respondent

PRAYER: Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 08.02.2020 requesting to resolve the loan obtained by her father and to cooperate for raising the attachment order in truly effective manner.


For Petitioner	: Mr.R.Narayanan
For Respondent	: Mr.N.Dilip Kumar
	Standing Counsel

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner and the learned Standing counsel appearing for the respondent.

2.It appears that the petitioner's father had availed some loan and mortgaged properties. In order to resolve the issue, the writ petition has been filed.

3.When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned Standing counsel appearing for the respondent Bank submitted that the Bank had already sold the loan account in question to asset reconstruction company way back in the year 2014 itself. The learned Standing counsel assured the Court that he will share the



details with the counsel for the petitioner. The said submission is recorded. I am of the view that no further relief can be granted to the writ petitioner in the present proceedings. It is for the writ petitioner to approach the appropriate Court for appropriate relief. I make it clear that I have not decided the rights of the petitioner. The petitioner's rights are entirely left open.

4.With this liberty to the petitioner, the Writ Petition stands closed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CRL SIDE)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

tsg

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

+1 CC to M/s.N.DILIPKUMAR, Advocate (SR-13196[F]
dated 23/07/2020)

ORDER MADE IN
W.P. (MD) .No.4882 of 2020
21.07.2020

KMV (CO)
TR(28.07.2020)2P 2C