



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED : 24.02.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D. JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.3217 of 2020

WEB COPY

1.A.Mohaideen Fatima
2.Mahmooda
3.T.S.Abdul Majith

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. The Commissioner of Police,
Tirunelveli City,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Law and Order,
Palayamkottai Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: This Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to direct the respondents not to harass the petitioners under the guise of enquiry by interfering with civil dispute.


For Petitioner : Mr.H.Arumugam
For Respondents : Mr.S.Chandrasekar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

The Criminal Original Petition has been filed to direct the respondents not to harass the petitioners under the guise of enquiry by interfering with civil dispute.

2. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that based on a complaint given by the petitioners enquiry has been initiated C.No. 153/camp/cop/ptn/Tin.c/10.02.2020. He would further submit that a complaint has been preferred by one Ayoopkan based on which enquiry has been initiated in C.No. 59/camp/cop/ptn/Tin.c/2020, dated 13.01.2020. He would further submit that, since both the complaints are counter complaints and the respondents are enquired the matter other than that the respondent police not harassing the petitioner.

3. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent police.



4. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondent police has been harassing him under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

5. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

6. This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

7. In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

8. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b) The respondent police is directed to serve summons mentioning the CSR number, date of complaint and the name of the complainant

c) The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

d) The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

e) The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]** shall be strictly adhered to.



9.With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (records)

WEB COPY

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

ksa

To

- 1.The Commissioner of Police,
Tirunelveli City,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Law and Order,
Palayamkottai Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to Mr.H.ARUMUGAM, Advocate (SR-8161[F] dated 25/02/2020)

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.3217 of 2020
24.02.2020

MK (11.03.2020) 3P 5C